General Information Version #								
Developer/Owner		Surveyor						
Subdivision Name		Engineer						
Location Address		Number of Lots						
Ward, Section, Township, Range		Avg. Lot Acreage						
Acreage		Sewer Disposal Type		e	Individual / Community			
Water Supply Type	Private / Individual / Public	Sewer Ownership			Private / Public			
All items are required to be answered	d. If marked N/A, provide a comme	nt. Use addition	al sh	eets	for c	omments as needed.		
Planning			Yes	No	N/A	Comments		
1. Preliminary Plat approval								
2. 4 hard copies of documents								
3. 2 electronic copies of documents	following CPPJ naming convention							
a. Development name (Phase#)	 submittal v# - document type 							
1. Subdivision (Phase 1) - En	g Plans v1 - Transmittal Letter							
b. Include CAD drawings or othe	r files necessary for review							
Resubmittal Requirements:								
4. Submittal version number								
5. Comment response letter								
6. Revision(s) clearly marked on all o	locuments							
Waivers (not applicable to residentia	al subdivisons)							
7. Will not alter the existing natural	characteristics of the site							
8. Not more than 20% impervious a	rea							
9. Less than 2 acre development								
Requirements								
10. Overall watershed map								
a. Boundaries delineated using l	atest LIDAR							
b. 1' topographic site contours w	vithin development boundary							
c. Delineated drainage areas inv	olved including offsite watershed a	areas						
1. Acreage shown								
d. Slope of each drainage area to	o the entry/exit point of developm	ent						
e. Travel length of each drainage	e area to the entry/exit point of de	velopment						
f. Existing land use and vegetati	ve cover for all drainage areas							
1. Pre-dev. conditions based	d on site cond. >/= 5 yrs prior to ap	plication date						
g. Utilize watercourse labeling a	dopted by parish stormwater mast	er plan						
n. Delineated 100yr flood zones	Including							
1. Regulatory floodways								
2. Coastal high hazard areas		a shada tha		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
I. Overall view of drainage and t	ultimate drainage disposal map to	nclude the						
development is loss than 10%	of the entire watershed	it where said						
11 Survey Data								
a Existing water course and dow	unstream drainage structures with	in study limits		-				
1. Watercourse y-secs at ad	equate intervals to define hydrauli	rs		-	-			
2. Drainage structure x-secs	equate intervals to define hydrauli							
a. Upstream								
b. Downstream								
				L	L			

		c. At structure		1				
			Ves	No	N/A	Comments		
		3. Stream x-secs to be tied to LIDAR for full x-secs	103		14/7	comments		
	b							
	c.	Description						
	d.	Elevation references		-				
12. Development drainage layout plan								
12.		Proposed layout of development		-				
	a. h	Various drainage areas based on concentual design and pronosed lawout						
	D.	Officite drainage areas at entry points to development						
	с.	Disite drainage areas at entry points to development						
		Pre-development discharge rates						
		2. Post-development discharge rates		<u> </u>				
	d.	Delineated		<u> </u>				
		1. Flood zones		<u> </u>				
		2. Regulatory floodways		L				
		3. Coastal high hazard areas						
		4. Highest recorded inundation						
	e.	Existing/Proposed						
		1. Drainage patterns						
		2. Slope and travel length						
		3. Estimated peak discharge rates						
		4. Ditches						
		5. Culverts						
		6. Other features/structures						
		7. 1' Contours						
		8. Stage-storage curves						
		9. Drainage/maintenance easements						
13.	Det	ailed findings						
	a.	Executive summary identifying						
		1. Results and conclusions of analysis						
		2. Recommend provisions for any required actions						
	b.	Clearly describe the						
		1. Methodology						
		2. Data used		-				
		3. Assumptions						
	C.	Meets latest CPPI approved/published drainage design standards						
	<u>.</u>	1 Zero increase in peak runoff rate for 2-year 5-year 10-year and the		-				
		25-year design storm events						
		2 For drainage area < 600 acres Rational or SCS for rural?		-				
		3 Hydrographs vol. design done w/small watershed hydrograph method		-				
	l	a Ex Detention		-				
	Ч	Calculations						
	u.	1 Clear/concise step-by-step calculations						
		Topographic data						
		2. Existing ground elevations		-				
		a. Existing ground elevations						
		p. cross sections of drainage laterals and inverts, size, types of facilities	S	1				

14. Additional information								
a		Include all pre/post inflow/outfall hydrographs that consider						
-	Т	1. Retention/Detention						
	f	2. Watercourse routing						
	ł	3. Rating curves for outlet structures and structure details						
	t	4. Detention ponds/systems meet storage requirements for 25-year storm	+					
L L		Indicate capacity of all	-					
	-		Yes	No	N/A	Comments		
	Т	1 existing/proposed features	103	110	14/7	comments		
	L	a pre development discharge						
		b post development discharge	+					
		Boundary conditions for HGL analysis at unstream/downstream limits						
	T	1 Type of flow (super critical / subcritical)	+					
	ł	2 10vr water surface elevation (WSEL)						
		Hydraulic water surface calculations	+					
	T	1 10vr W/SEL areas above ton of bank pre/post development conditions	-					
	ł	1. Toy will aleas above top of bank pre/post development conditions	-					
	ł	2. Fill mitigation below 10 yr WSEL belanced in stage storage surves	+					
15 1		s. Fill filling ation below Toyr WSEL balanced in stage-storage curves	+					
15. 0	Vdl		+					
	·							
	┢	Cultural Challes						
	ł	2. Cuiverts						
	┢	5. Bridges						
		4. Other natural redures						
	<u>.</u> Т	Developed based on						
	ł	Existing features to be removed						
	+	2. New channel geometry						
	┝	3. Proposed culverts/storm drain systems						
		4. Fill placed within over-bank flow in existing channels						
	•	No negative impacts to existing WSEL						
16.5	pe	cific considerations						
a		Natural drainage features shall not be used unless						
	┝	1. Parish engineer or designee approval						
	L	2. incorporated into permanent platted design						
		a. Appropriate easements and designations						
		No portion of watershed diverted to adjacent watershed						
	•	No negative impact to existing WSEL by alterations to existing conveyances						
17. Document standards								
a	· _	Sheet sizes - scales						
	╞	1. 11"x17" - Max 1"=50'						
	╞	2. 22"x34" – Max 1'=100'						
		3. 24"x36" – Max 1'=100'						
b		Minimum text size shall be 0.07 times the printed scale			_			
Fill n	niti	gation						
18. N	0	net loss in stage-storage relationships for 10 yr and 100yr storms						
19. C	19. Delineated 100yr floodplain elevation on pre-development 1' contours							

20. Post development 1' contours		
21. Post development fill volume to be deposited 100yr flood elevation		
22. Watershed boundaries are to be included		
23. Dirt volume removed from ponds below normal WSEL cannot be credited		
24. Offsite offset of fill must be in the same watershed		
25. No more than 1' above or below BFE of the development site		

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
26	26. Fill above natural ground shall not be placed any closer than 5'				
	from the property line				
27	. Exemptions				
	a. Fill does not exceed 6" above natural ground				
	b. 10% of total calculated fill volume may be exempted				

I, ______, certify this submittal includes all required information per this checklist. I understand that incomplete or incorrect submittals may be rejected. I understand this submittal is the minimum necessary for review and additional documentation may be requested by Police Jury staff. As per the Calcasieu Parish Code of Ordinances, the Director's recommendation will be issued within twenty (20) working days of each submittal, excluding legal holidays, after determination of submittal completeness.

Signature/Date: _____